wo

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

APR **2 2** 2009

\_RECEIVED

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA DEPUTY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	v.	OBBER	OF DETENTION DENDING TOUR		
Ricardo Ramiro Valdespino-Buenfil		URDER	OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Titodido	rame valuespine busini	Case Number:	09-3144M		
and was repre	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 esented by counsel. I conclude by a prepone defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing was onderance of the evidence the	held on <u>4/22/09</u> . Defendant wa defendant is a serious flight risk and	as present d order the	
		FINDINGS OF FACT			
I find by a prep	ponderance of the evidence that:				
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	ant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the char	dant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated nce.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal his	story.			

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.

The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. 1.

The defendant is facing a maximum of

The defendant lives/works in Mexico.

There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.

substantial family ties to Mexico.

No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. 2.

## **DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Lawrence O. Anderson

United States Magistrate Judge

years imprisonment.